		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	arv	10/541,045 HOGARTH ET AL.		NL.	
=xammer mater mention cammary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Yevgeny Valenrod		1621	
All Participants:		Status of Applicati	on: <u>pen</u>	ding	
(1) <u>Yevgeny Valenrod</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Darla Yoerg</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 7 June 2007		Time: <u>3:20pm</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
26, 27, 30, 31, 34, 35, 38, 39					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	E GENER	AL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Attorney for the applicant has ageer to examiners propo has also agreed to amend the remaining claims by rewr	sal to cand riting the cla	cel claims 26, 27, 30 and aims in the independent	d 31 by e. format.	xaminers amendn	nent. Attorney
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section of the interview is applicant. 	tion. The eparate re	examiner will provide cord of the substance	a writte of the i	n summary of the nterview, since	ne substance
					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/A	applicant's Represent	ative Sig	nature – if appro	opriate)